

2024 KEY FINDINGS REPORT

RYAN NEELAM

JACK SATO



2024 KEY FINDINGS REPORT

RYAN NEELAM JACK SATO Published by Lowy Institute, 31 Bligh Street Sydney NSW 2000

Copyright © Lowy Institute 2024

Project lead: Ryan Neelam.

Principal researchers: Ryan Neelam, Jack Sato.

Acknowledgements: Review, editorial, and design contributions of Hervé Lemahieu, Clare Caldwell, Ian Bruce, Stephen Hutchings, Meg Keen.

Interactive website designed and produced by Glider.

The researchers are grateful to the relevant ministries of foreign affairs, together with their embassies and consulates accredited to Australia, for the feedback and assistance provided in the data collection process.

All rights reserved. Without limiting the rights under copyright reserved above, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in, or introduced into a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise), without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

Contents

Int	roduction	4
Ra	nkings	5
Ke	y findings	6
1.	Superpowers neck and neck: China is ahead in Africa, East Asia, and the Pacific, while the United States has the edge in the Americas, Europe, and South Asia	6
2.	The price of war: Russia's war in Ukraine has come at a heavy cost to its global diplomatic reach	8
3.	Middle powers rising: Türkiye and India have rapidly expanded their diplomatic networks in a more multipolar world	10
4.	Diplomatic backsliding: Taiwan has lost ground to China on formal recognition	12
5.	Hosts with the most: European cities top the list of the busiest diplomatic capitals, Damascus saw the most embassy re-openings, and Kabul experienced the greatest number of closures	14
6.	A rush to the Pacific: Geopolitical competition has driven a surge of new diplomatic missions in Pacific Islands countries	16
7.	Asia in focus: Japan is a global diplomatic heavyweight, while Indonesia leads for its diplomatic network among Southeast Asian countries	18
8.	Australia: Near the bottom of the G20 but leading the charge in the South Pacific	19
Me	ethodology	21
Ab	out the authors	22

Introduction

How do nations project influence? Among the various forms of national power — economic, military, and technological — diplomacy has been one of the most undercounted, and thus often overlooked, levers of influence.

In 2016, the Lowy Institute launched the Global Diplomacy Index to address this gap by mapping the world's most significant diplomatic networks. For the first time, the Index allowed users to see the scale of a country's official overseas presence, where connections were the thickest, and how countries' diplomatic networks compared to each other. This provided a basis for fresh insights into the relative weight countries placed on diplomacy, and where they sought to wield it to build influence.

Eight years later, in a more contested world, diplomacy has never been a more important dimension of statecraft. The 2024 release of the Global Diplomacy Index visualises the diplomatic networks of 66 countries and territories in Asia, the Group of 20 (G20), and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). It now allows users to compare and explore how networks have changed over time, drawing on five public data releases. This latest iteration of the Index publishes data collected between July and November 2023.

At a macro level, diplomatic networks continue to expand and deepen — reflecting that, despite the ease of online connectivity, governments the world over continue to invest in face-to-face diplomacy and an on-the-ground presence. Great power rivalry is as prevalent in diplomacy as in other fields, with the United States and China dominating the rankings. Issues such as Russia's war in Ukraine or economic challenges in South Africa and Argentina have also led to declines in some countries' networks. Other countries have thinned their presence in particular regions as priorities change, while geopolitical competition has propelled the Pacific and Asia into focus.

The 2024 Global Diplomacy Index, now in its fifth iteration, is a critical resource for understanding the changing face of global diplomacy.

Explore more detail on the 2024 Global Diplomacy Index website: https://globaldiplomacyindex.lowyinstitute.org

Rankings

1 China 274 2 - United States 271 3 ↑ 2 Türkiye 252 4 - Japan 251 5 ↓ 2 France 249 6 - Russia 230 7 - United Kingdom 225 8 - Germany 217 9 ↑ 2 Italy 206 10 - Brazil 205 11 ↑ 1 India 194 12 ↓ 3 Spain 190 13 - South Korea 187 14 - Mexico 161 15 ↑ 3 Canada 157 16 ↓ 1 Argentina 150 17 ↓ 1 Netherlands 149 18 ↑ 1 European Union* 143 19 ↓ 2 Switzerland 141 20 ↑ 2 Hungary 140 21 ↓ 1 Poland 13	Overall Rank	Rank Change	Country or territory	Posts
1 ↑ 2 Türkiye 252 4 Japan 251 5 ↓ 2 France 249 6 - Russia 230 7 - United Kingdom 225 8 Germany 217 9 ↑ 2 Italy 206 10 Brazil 205 11 ↑ 1 India 194 12 ↓ 3 Spain 190 13 South Korea 187 14 Mexico 161 15 ↑ 3 Canada 157 16 ↓ 1 Argentina 150 17 ↓ 1 Netherlands 149 18 ↑ 1 European Union* 143 19 ↓ 2 Switzerland 141 20 ↑ 2 Hungary 140 21 ↓ 1 Poland 135	1	_	China	274
4 Japan 251 5 ↓ 2 France 249 6 - Russia 230 7 - United Kingdom 225 8 - Germany 217 9 ↑ 2 Italy 206 10 - Brazil 205 11 ↑ 1 India 194 12 ↓ 3 Spain 190 13 - South Korea 187 14 - Mexico 161 15 ↑ 3 Canada 157 16 ↓ 1 Argentina 150 17 ↓ 1 Netherlands 149 18 ↑ 1 European Union* 143 19 ↓ 2 Switzerland 141 20 ↑ 2 Hungary 140 21 ↓ 1 Poland 135	2		United States	271
5 \downarrow 2 France 249 6 - Russia 230 7 - United Kingdom 225 8 - Germany 217 9 \uparrow 2 Italy 206 10 - Brazil 205 11 \uparrow 1 India 194 12 \downarrow 3 Spain 190 13 - South Korea 187 14 - Mexico 161 15 \uparrow 3 Canada 157 16 \downarrow 1 Argentina 150 17 \downarrow 1 Netherlands 149 18 \uparrow 1 European Union* 143 19 \downarrow 2 Switzerland 141 20 \uparrow 2 Hungary 140 21 \downarrow 1 Poland 135	3	↑ 2	Türkiye	252
6 Russia 230 7 - United Kingdom 225 8 Germany 217 9 ↑ 2 Italy 206 10 Brazil 205 11 ↑ 1 India 194 12 ↓ 3 Spain 190 13 South Korea 187 14 Mexico 161 15 ↑ 3 Canada 157 16 ↓ 1 Argentina 150 17 ↓ 1 Netherlands 149 18 ↑ 1 European Union* 143 19 ↓ 2 Switzerland 141 20 ↑ 2 Hungary 140 21 ↓ 1 Poland 135	4	—	Japan	251
7 - United Kingdom 225 8 - Germany 217 9 \uparrow 2 Italy 206 10 - Brazil 205 11 \uparrow 1 India 194 12 \downarrow 3 Spain 190 13 - South Korea 187 14 - Mexico 161 15 \uparrow 3 Canada 157 16 \downarrow 1 Argentina 150 17 \downarrow 1 Netherlands 149 18 \uparrow 1 European Union* 143 19 \downarrow 2 Switzerland 141 20 \uparrow 2 Hungary 140 21 \downarrow 1 Poland 135	5	↓ 2	France	249
8 - Germany 217 9 ↑ 2 Italy 206 10 - Brazil 205 11 ↑ 1 India 194 12 ↓ 3 Spain 190 13 - South Korea 187 14 - Mexico 161 15 ↑ 3 Canada 157 16 ↓ 1 Argentina 150 17 ↓ 1 Netherlands 149 18 ↑ 1 European Union* 143 19 ↓ 2 Switzerland 141 20 ↑ 2 Hungary 140 21 ↓ 1 Poland 135	6	_	Russia	230
9 \uparrow 2 Italy 206 10 - Brazil 205 11 \uparrow 1 India 194 12 \downarrow 3 Spain 190 13 - South Korea 187 14 - Mexico 161 15 \uparrow 3 Canada 157 16 \downarrow 1 Argentina 150 17 \downarrow 1 Netherlands 149 18 \uparrow 1 European Union* 143 19 \downarrow 2 Switzerland 141 20 \uparrow 2 Hungary 140 21 \downarrow 1 Poland 135	7	—	United Kingdom	225
10 - Brazil 205 11 ↑ 1 India 194 12 ↓ 3 Spain 190 13 - South Korea 187 14 - Mexico 161 15 ↑ 3 Canada 157 16 ↓ 1 Argentina 150 17 ↓ 1 Netherlands 149 18 ↑ 1 European Union* 143 19 ↓ 2 Switzerland 141 20 ↑ 2 Hungary 140 21 ↓ 1 Poland 135	8	_	Germany	217
11 \uparrow 1 India 194 12 \downarrow 3 Spain 190 13 - South Korea 187 14 - Mexico 161 15 \uparrow 3 Canada 157 16 \downarrow 1 Argentina 150 17 \downarrow 1 Netherlands 149 18 \uparrow 1 European Union* 143 19 \downarrow 2 Switzerland 141 20 \uparrow 2 Hungary 140 21 \downarrow 1 Poland 135	9	↑ 2	Italy	206
12 \downarrow 3 Spain 190 13 - South Korea 187 14 - Mexico 161 15 \uparrow 3 Canada 157 16 \downarrow 1 Argentina 150 17 \downarrow 1 Netherlands 149 18 \uparrow 1 European Union* 143 19 \downarrow 2 Switzerland 141 20 \uparrow 2 Hungary 140 21 \downarrow 1 Poland 135	10	_	Brazil	205
13-South Korea18714-Mexico16115 \uparrow 3Canada15716 \downarrow 1Argentina15017 \downarrow 1Netherlands14918 \uparrow 1European Union*14319 \downarrow 2Switzerland14120 \uparrow 2Hungary14021 \downarrow 1Poland135	11	↑1	India	194
14 - Mexico 161 15 \uparrow 3 Canada 157 16 \downarrow 1 Argentina 150 17 \downarrow 1 Netherlands 149 18 \uparrow 1 European Union* 143 19 \downarrow 2 Switzerland 141 20 \uparrow 2 Hungary 140 21 \downarrow 1 Poland 135	12	↓ 3	Spain	190
15 ↑ 3 Canada 157 16 ↓ 1 Argentina 150 17 ↓ 1 Netherlands 149 18 ↑ 1 European Union* 143 19 ↓ 2 Switzerland 141 20 ↑ 2 Hungary 140 21 ↓ 1 Poland 135	13	_	South Korea	187
16 ↓ 1 Argentina 150 17 ↓ 1 Netherlands 149 18 ↑ 1 European Union* 143 19 ↓ 2 Switzerland 141 20 ↑ 2 Hungary 140 21 ↓ 1 Poland 135	14	_	Mexico	161
17 ↓ 1 Netherlands 149 18 ↑ 1 European Union* 143 19 ↓ 2 Switzerland 141 20 ↑ 2 Hungary 140 21 ↓ 1 Poland 135	15	↑ 3	Canada	157
18 ↑ 1 European Union* 143 19 ↓ 2 Switzerland 141 20 ↑ 2 Hungary 140 21 ↓ 1 Poland 135	16	↓1	Argentina	150
19 ↓ 2 Switzerland 141 20 ↑ 2 Hungary 140 21 ↓ 1 Poland 135	17	↓1	Netherlands	149
20 ↑ 2 Hungary 140 21 ↓ 1 Poland 135	18	↑1	European Union*	143
21 ↓ 1 Poland 135	19	↓ 2	Switzerland	141
	20	↑ 2	Hungary	140
22 ↓1 Greece 134	21	↓1	Poland	135
	22	↓1	Greece	134

Overall Rank	Rank Change	Country or territory	Posts
23	_	Indonesia	130
24	↑ 5	Saudi Arabia	128
25	—	Portugal	127
26	—	Australia	124
27	↓ 2	Chile	121
27	↑ 5	Pakistan	121
29	_	Czechia	120
30	↓1	Colombia	117
31	↓ 5	South Africa	114
32	↓4	Belgium	113
33	_	Taiwan**	110
34	↑ 2	Israel	107
35	↓1	Malaysia	106
36	↑1	Austria	104
37	↓ 2	Sweden	102
38	↑ 5	Ireland	98
39	_	Thailand	97
40	1↑	Philippines	94
40	_	Vietnam	94
42	↓4	Norway	91
43	↓1	Denmark	90
43	1↑	Finland	90

Overall Rank	Rank Change	Country or territory	Posts
45	—	Slovakia	82
46	_	Bangladesh	80
47	↑ 1	New Zealand	68
48	—	Lithuania	62
49	↓ 2	Sri Lanka	60
50	↑1	Slovenia	58
51	↓1	Costa Rica	52
52	↑ 5	Mongolia	50
52	—	Singapore	50
54	↑1	Estonia	46
54	—	Latvia	46
54	↑6	Luxembourg	46
54	↑1	Myanmar	46
58	_	Cambodia	43
58	↓ 6	North Korea	43
60	↓1	Brunei	42
61	—	Laos	40
61	_	Nepal	40
63	_	Timor-Leste	31
64	↓1	Iceland	26
65	↓1	Papua New Guinea	21
66	↓1	Bhutan	10

* The European Union operates its own global diplomatic network called the European External Action Service (EEAS). The EEAS is distinct from the individual diplomatic services of the European Union's member states.

** Taiwan is included in the Index as a self-governing territory claimed by China. Most of Taiwan's overseas posts are not officially accredited as diplomatic missions by the host country.

Key findings

1

Superpowers neck and neck: China is ahead in Africa, East Asia, and the Pacific, while the United States has the edge in the Americas, Europe, and South Asia

China and the United States lead the world, by some margin, in the size of their diplomatic networks. Beijing tops the Index with 274 posts in its global network, followed closely by Washington with 271.

China's rise to the top spot was rapid. In 2011, Beijing lagged behind Washington by 23 diplomatic posts. By 2019, China had surpassed the United States in having the world's largest diplomatic network. In 2021, China pulled further ahead, leading the United States by eight posts, but by 2023, the gap narrowed again to China ahead by just three posts.

Since China assumed the lead, both countries have largely plateaued, with China down two posts overall compared to 2019 (276), and the United States fluctuating slightly to return to 2016 levels (271). This is, perhaps, to be expected. Once diplomatic networks have reached a critical mass, options for new openings reduce to second and third-tier cities, or to countries that are seen as more peripheral and often with riskier operating environments.

In that respect, it is revealing to examine the relative regional emphases of Chinese and American diplomacy to date. China has a larger diplomatic footprint than the United States in Africa (60:56), East Asia¹ (44:27), the Pacific Islands countries² (9:8), and Central Asia (7:6) after the United States withdrew from Afghanistan.

The United States still leads China diplomatically in Europe (78:73), North and Central America³ (40:24), and South Asia (12:10). Both countries have an equal number of posts in the Middle East (17) and South America (15).

- East Asia includes Northeast Asia (Japan, Mongolia, North Korea, South Korea and Taiwan), and the 11 countries of Southeast Asia. China not included for the purpose of this comparison.
- 2 Pacific Islands countries includes the members of the Pacific Islands Forum. Australia and New Zealand not included for the purpose of this comparison.
- 3 North America includes Canada and Mexico. United States not included for the purpose of this comparison.



China and the United States have the world's largest diplomatic footprints Number of diplomatic posts

In 2017, 16 countries were added to the Global Diplomacy Index for the first time

US and Chinese regional diplomatic footprints	
Number of posts by region	

China	Posts	United States
274	Total	271
60	Africa	56
44	East Asia	27
9	Pacific Islands	8
7	Central Asia	6
73	Europe	78
24	North and Central America	40
10	South Asia	12
17	Middle East	17
15	South America	15

The price of war: Russia's war in Ukraine has come at a heavy cost to its global diplomatic reach

Russia's invasion of Ukraine has had a significant impact on its diplomatic network. Moscow has closed 14 of its posts abroad since launching its invasion in February 2022, largely as a result of deteriorating ties or diplomatic expulsions. Meanwhile, other Index countries collectively have closed 16 posts within Russia since the war began. The thinning of Russia's diplomatic connections will impede its ability to advance its global interests.

Compounding this shrinking diplomatic network, coordinated mass expulsions of Russian diplomats across much of the Western world have severely curtailed the reach of the Kremlin's human intelligence network. According to MI5's Director General in November 2022, 600 Russian officials (400 of whom were suspected spies) were expelled from Europe in the wake of the invasion, striking "the most significant strategic blow" against Russia's intelligence services in recent European history.⁴

This is not the first time Russia's diplomatic network has been impacted by its malign actions. In 2018, the United Kingdom accused Russia of poisoning a defected Russian intelligence officer in the city of Salisbury, leading to the coordinated expulsion of more than 140 Russian diplomats from Western countries. In retaliation, Moscow expelled dozens of foreign diplomats from Russia.

Nonetheless, Moscow's global network remains extensive — a reflection of its expansive Cold War footprint and its ongoing great power ambitions. Russia slipped from fourth on the Index in 2017 to sixth in 2021 — a rank it continues to hold today.

For Ukraine (whose diplomatic network is not included in the Index), the war has led to the closure of 11 foreign consulates within its territory (among countries included in the Index). Most foreign embassies in Kyiv have now re-opened after temporary closures at the onset of the invasion, although Australia and South Korea's embassies in the city both remain closed.

Note: The Israel–Hamas war commenced after the conclusion of our data collection period in 2023. As a result, any subsequent impact on diplomatic posts has not been reflected.

4 Michael Holden, "UK MI5 Chief Says Expulsion of Russian Spies has Delivered Significant Blow", Reuters, 17 November 2022, https:// www.reuters.com/world/ uk/uk-mi5-chief-saysexpulsion-russian-spieshas-delivered-significantblow-2022-11-16/.

Russia's diplomatic connections are thinning, but still extensive Russia's global diplomatic network in 2023



Red lines indicate post closures following 2022 Russian invasion of Ukraine

Middle powers rising: Türkiye and India have rapidly expanded their diplomatic networks in a more multipolar world

Türkiye has risen rapidly to become the third-largest diplomatic player in the world in 2023, overtaking traditional diplomatic heavyweights Japan and France. Operating 252 posts, it has steadily expanded its network, adding 24 posts since 2017 and 11 posts since the last edition of this Index in 2021. Many of Türkiye's new posts have been in the Middle East and Africa, reflecting a diplomatic push in regions of interest to Ankara.

Overall, however, Türkiye's network remains highly Eurocentric with 102 (40%) of its total overseas posts in that region alone, shadowing the sizeable ethnic Turkish diaspora in the Eurozone. Gaps remain in other regions. For example, with the exception of Australia and New Zealand, Türkiye is not represented in the Pacific and has a limited footprint in the Caribbean and Indian Ocean Region.

India has historically underinvested in the size of its diplomatic network relative to its demographic and economic weight, sitting just outside the top ten countries in the Global Diplomacy Index. However, together with Türkiye, India has the fastest growing network of any Index country, also adding 11 posts since 2021. Almost three-quarters of these new posts (8) are in Africa, in part reflecting India's growing economic ties with the region and its ambition to position itself as leader of the Global South.

India's diplomatic footprint is most pronounced in Africa, Asia, and Europe, and it is represented in every country in Asia, Eastern Africa, and the Indian Ocean Region. India has limited diplomatic representation in the Pacific, operating only two posts among the Pacific Islands Forum members (excluding Australia and New Zealand).

Several other countries have grown their networks substantially since 2021. Czechia, Ireland, Luxembourg, and Saudi Arabia each added eight new posts; Hungary and New Zealand both added seven; and Colombia and Pakistan both added six.



Türkiye and India are rapidly expanding their overseas networks Number of diplomatic posts compared with G20 and OECD averages

In 2017, 16 countries were added to the Global Diplomacy Index for the first time

India has historically underinvested in the size of its diplomatic network relative to its demographic and economic weight

Key findings 11

Diplomatic backsliding: Taiwan has lost ground to China on formal recognition

Taiwan currently operates 110 overseas posts worldwide, most of which are not officially accredited as diplomatic missions, placing it 33rd on the Index. Only 12 countries in the world still maintain official diplomatic relations with Taiwan as the Republic of China.

Taiwan is struggling to preserve the few formal diplomatic relationships it has left as China picks off countries through economic and other enticements. In January 2024, Nauru became the latest country to switch its diplomatic recognition from Taipei to Beijing, leading to the immediate closure of Taiwan's and Nauru's embassies to each other. Since 2017, seven other countries have also switched recognition to Beijing. At time of publishing, only 14 of Taiwan's 110 overseas posts are officially accredited by the host country or institution — 12 embassies, one Consulate-General (in Paraguay), and one mission to the World Trade Organization, where it is recognised as a "Separate Customs Territory".

The remaining 96 are "unofficial" trade and/or cultural offices overseas (nomenclature for these posts varies by location), serving similar functions to embassies or consulates. While not accorded diplomatic status by their hosts, these presences still allow Taiwan to advocate its interests and provide services to Taiwanese people overseas. Since 2017, this figure has increased by seven, reflecting the importance Taiwan places on international outreach and engagement, despite its increasing official isolation.

Taiwan is struggling to preserve the few formal diplomatic relationships it has left Taiwan is losing formal diplomatic partners, but maintains an expansive network of mostly unofficial posts Red lines indicate post closures since 2017



Hosts with the most:

European cities top the list of the busiest diplomatic capitals, Damascus saw the most embassy re-openings, and Kabul experienced the greatest number of closures

Diplomatic influence is not only enabled by a country's presence abroad, but also by its ability to attract and host foreign missions at home.

At a city level, Europe dominates with four out of five of the most popular diplomatic capitals in the world for those included in the Index. The presence of large multilateral institutions exerts a strong gravitational pull for the top five host cities, with Brussels (host to 124 foreign missions) the base for both the European Union and the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO); Paris (118 posts) hosting the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) and the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO); New York (116) hosting the United Nations Headquarters; Geneva (99) hosting the World Trade Organization (WTO) and a number of UN specialised agencies; and Vienna (98) similarly hosting a number of UN bodies as well as the Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC).

Jakarta hosts the sixth-largest number of foreign posts (75) from countries on the Index, including 20 standalone missions to Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) member states. However, the number of posts does not tell the whole story. For example, Jakarta is the largest post in Australia's global diplomatic network by number of staff, reflecting the importance of Indonesia and ASEAN to Australia's foreign policy.

Damascus is the city that saw the largest resurgence in diplomatic missions (six new posts since 2017) as several countries re-opened official channels with the Assad regime, while Kabul saw the largest decline (19 post closures), prompted by the United States' withdrawal from Afghanistan and the Taliban takeover in 2021. Khartoum experienced the next-highest number of closures (14) over the last five years as conflict raged in Sudan, while Pyongyang saw ten closures, reflecting North Korea's deepening isolation.

Brussels, Belgium	6	2		62		124
Paris, France	6	0		58		118
New York, United States	52			64		116
Geneva, Switzerland	20		79			99
Vienna, Austria	5	8		40		98
Jakarta, Indonesia	55	5	20			75
Washington DC, United States		63	11			74
Rome, Italy	55		14			69
London, United Kingdom	(64	2			66
New Delhi, India	(64				64
Tokyo, Japan	6	33				63
Beijing, China	6	2				62
Seoul, South Korea	6	2				62
Berlin, Germany	6	1				61
Canberra, Australia	6	1				61
Moscow, Russia	6	1				61
Bangkok, Thailand	58	3	1			59
Cairo, Egypt	58	3				58
Singapore, Singapore	58	3				58
() 25	50	7	5 10	00 12	20
	Embassy/C	onsulate-Ger	neral	Permai	nent Mission	l

European and American cities host the most diplomatic missions Number of diplomatic posts by city, 2023*

*Only posts operated by the 66 countries or territories included on the Global Diplomacy Index are counted

At a country level, the United States remains the locus of global diplomatic activity, hosting some 461 foreign posts (including 75 permanent missions to international organisations) belonging to countries included in the Index. China, hosting 271 foreign posts, is a distant second.

When taking into account all countries (not just the 66 included in the Index), Beijing and Washington are the lead host cities.

A rush to the Pacific: Geopolitical competition has driven a surge of new diplomatic missions in Pacific Islands countries

Since 2017, the South Pacific, including Australia and New Zealand, has experienced the fastest growth rate of any region in the world in terms of hosting new foreign diplomatic posts — expanding by almost ten per cent (29 new posts), albeit from a low base. Roughly half of these posts (14) were in Australia and New Zealand. But the uptick in diplomatic attention also reflects rising competition for influence in the smaller, strategically positioned Pacific Islands states, which saw the opening of 15 new posts, including from Australia, China, Europe, and the United States.

As part of its strategic re-engagement with the Pacific, the United States is growing its diplomatic footprint in the region. The United States has re-opened an embassy in Solomon Islands and opened a new one in Tonga. It has also announced its intention to open at least two more missions in Vanuatu and Kiribati. If realised, this would bring the US network in the Pacific Islands (excluding Australia and New Zealand) from eight posts at present to ten.

Meanwhile, China has been growing its footprint in the region, too. It has added an embassy in Solomon Islands and upgraded its unofficial presence in Kiribati to an embassy, reflecting those countries' switch in diplomatic recognition away from Taipei. Beijing is expected to establish an embassy in Nauru, following the latter's switch in diplomatic recognition to China in January 2024. Doing so would also bring its total count in the Pacific Islands to ten.

While the Pacific grew the fastest in relative terms, Europe (+117) is the region that saw the greatest absolute number of new foreign diplomatic posts opened since 2017. Europe also maintains the highest concentration of diplomatic missions in the Index. By contrast, South America grew the slowest of any region in the world, add-ing just three foreign diplomatic posts on the Index.

Growing diplomatic interest in the Pacific

New posts opened 2017-2023



Asia in focus:

Japan is a global diplomatic heavyweight, while Indonesia leads for its diplomatic network among Southeast Asian countries

Japan's growing military budget has attracted much global attention. But Japan also operates one of the largest diplomatic networks in the world (ranked fourth overall with a total of 251 posts) and, after China, has the largest global diplomatic network of any Asian country. Japan has expanded its network incrementally, by 11 posts since 2017.

Indonesia, the third-largest democracy in the world and the country with the largest Muslim population, holds the most extensive global diplomatic network of any Southeast Asian country, operating 130 overseas missions abroad (ranked 23rd on the Index). However, its overall network has declined marginally by three posts since 2017. Indonesia's network is concentrated in Asia, Europe, and the Middle East, with limited representation in Latin America and Africa. Indonesia is followed in the region by Malaysia, the Philippines, and Vietnam in terms of overall size of networks.





Australia: Near the bottom of the G20 but leading the charge in the South Pacific

Australia's diplomatic footprint, ranked 26th on the overall Index, remains undersized relative to its economic weight as the fourteenth-largest economy in the world and status as a high-income middle power. With 124 posts, the size of Australia's global diplomatic network is near the bottom of the G20, ahead of only South Africa, which closed nine posts during the pandemic, citing fiscal constraints.

Australia's network is concentrated in Asia (38 posts), with a particular focus on Southeast Asia (17), followed by Europe (30) and the Pacific Islands (17). Canberra has expanded its presence most rapidly in the South Pacific, opening six missions in the Pacific Islands since 2017. Australia now has official representation in every Pacific Islands Forum member country.

Australia maintains a limited diplomatic footprint in Africa (9), South America (6), and the Caribbean (1). It has no resident posts in Central Africa, Central America, Central Asia, the Baltic region, or the Caucasus.





In 2017, 16 countries were added to the Global Diplomacy Index for the first time

Key findings 19

Australia's global diplomatic network, 2023



20 Global Diplomacy Index

Methodology

The data in the 2024 Global Diplomacy Index was collected between July and November 2023. It was compiled from a combination of sources including desk research on foreign ministry websites for each of the 66 countries and territories, and direct exchanges between the Lowy Institute and the relevant ministries of foreign affairs, their embassies, and consulates. All countries and territories included in the Index were given the opportunity to comment on the draft data relating to their jurisdiction. The Lowy Institute has made every effort to ensure this resource is as accurate as possible. If you have further information that will assist us, please contact us at gdi@lowyinstitute.org.

Included*	Excluded		
 Embassies, High Commissions. Consulates-General and consulates, provided they are headed by a dedicated, resident head/chief of mission with accreditation in the host country. By "resident", we mean that the head of mission is employed by the relevant jurisdiction's foreign ministry and has been sent to the host country for the purposes of fulfilling their duties. The Index does not include posts headed by locally engaged staff members. 	 Honorary consulates. Consular sections of embassies (consulates or consulates-general that are located in the same city a an embassy are not counted as individual posts)** Consular branches or offices that are not headed by a dedicated home-based head of mission with accreditation in the host country. Embassies or posts that are temporarily closed. 		
Permanent missions or delegations to multilateral organisations (such as the UN, EU, NATO, and OECD) that operate independently of other missions and are headed by a dedicated, resident head of mission.	 Permanent missions or delegations that operate as sub-sections of another post, or that do not have a dedicated, resident head of mission. Ambassadors to portfolios, for example ambassadors 		
Representative offices or delegations to countries/ territories where there is no formal diplomatic relationship, provided they are headed by a dedicated, resident head/chief of mission posted from capital.	 for Gender Equality or Cyber Security. Posts in overseas territories of the sending state. Trade offices that do not serve other consular or public-facing functions. 		

* The missions should also have a physical presence in the city and a permanent address.

While the Index provides an important set of datapoints as a proxy for investment in diplomacy as a dimension of statecraft, we acknowledge this has its limitations. The Index is a quantitative tool. It does not track the number of staff at each post (due to significant difficulties in obtaining this data). Nor does it measure the effectiveness of a country or territory's diplomacy, which other tools, including the <u>Asia Power</u> Index, contribute to understanding.

^{**} In the 2024 release of the Index, consulates-general or consulates in the same city as an embassy of the sending state were not counted as separate posts – a change from previous years that has reduced the total post count for several countries. This change reflects that, for most countries, consular functions in capital cities are discharged as part of an embassy's functions and staffing.



About the authors

Ryan Neelam

Ryan Neelam is Director of the Public Opinion and Foreign Policy Program at the Lowy Institute and is the project lead on the Global Diplomacy Index and the Lowy Institute Poll. Prior to joining the Lowy Institute in 2023, Ryan served as an Australian diplomat for 14 years including on postings as the Deputy Consul-General in Hong Kong and as a negotiator at United Nations Headquarters in New York. He has managed key diplomatic relationships, developed policy, and represented Australia on international security, economic, climate change, and human rights issues. Ryan holds a Bachelor of Economics and Social Sciences (Honours) from the University of Sydney.



Jack Sato

Jack Sato is a data analyst for the Asia Power Index at the Lowy Institute. He joined the Institute in 2022. He holds a degree in Science/Arts, majoring in Statistics, Chinese and Japanese (minoring in International Relations) from the University of Queensland.

